



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/874,137	06/05/2001	Naoji Sakamoto	DKT00123	6261
7590 10/21/2004			EXAMINER	
Borg Warner Inc.			CHARLES, MARCUS	
Powertrain Technical Center 3800 Automation Avenue, Ste. 100			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Aubun Hills, M		3682		
			DATE MAILED: 10/21/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u>. </u>		Application No.	Applicant(s)	ch			
		09/874,137	SAKAMOTO ET A	レ.			
•	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Marcus Charles	3682				
Doubod fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a	oppears on the cover sh	eet with the correspondence add	dress -			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. a period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a to be period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per ire to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, reply within the statutory minimur od will apply and will expire SIX (tute, cause the application to bec	may a reply be timely filed n of thirty (30) days will be considered timely 6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this co come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) To Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	his action is non-final. vance except for forma	• •	merits is			
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□	4) ☐ Claim(s) 1,3-6 and 9-21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) 14-21 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,3-6 and 9-13 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicat	ion Papers						
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Exami The drawing(s) filed on 31 October 2003 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the	re: a)⊠ accepted or b ne drawing(s) be held in a ection is required if the dr	beyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). awing(s) is objected to. See 37 CF	R 1.121(d).			
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Buresee the attached detailed Office action for a light	ents have been received ents have been received riority documents have eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))	d. d in Application No been received in this National \$.	Stage			
Attachmen	t(s)						
2) Notic 3) Infor	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 r No(s)/Mail Date	Pape	rview Summary (PTO-413) er No(s)/Mail Date ce of Informal Patent Application (PTO- er:	-152)			

Art Unit: 3682

DETAILED ACTION

This action is responsive to the amendment filed 07-06-2004, which has been entered. Claims 1, 3-6 and 9-21 are currently pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In claim 11, it is unclear how the link plate contact surface can be can be smaller than that of the guide plate contact surface when the thickness of the link plate is larger than that of the guide plate.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in thisOffice action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 12-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ichikawa et al. (5,989,140). Ichikawa et al. discloses a silent chain comprising a plurality links plates (2/3) each having a pair of tooth parts (t), a guide link (2A/7) having a pair of pin holes and disposed on the outermost side of the link plates

Art Unit: 3682

and fixed to linking pins (4), the contact surface of the link plates is in contact with the chain guide when the chain is in contact on the chain guide surface.

In claim 13, note the teeth (t) of the guide plate.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1, 4-5, 11 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mott in view of Kozakura et al. (5,967,926). Mott discloses a silent chain comprising a plurality links plates (12) each having a pair of tooth parts, a guide link (14) having a pair of pin holes and disposed on the outermost side of the link plates and fixed to linking pins (14) such that the distance from a centerline of the pin hole of the link plate to the link plate surface is greater than the distance from the centerline of the pin hole (22) to the surface of the guide plate. In addition, Mott clearly discloses the clearance between each pin (26) and the pinholes (col. 5, lines 30-46). Mott does not disclose that the silent chain includes a chain guide and the guide link has a crotch in the surface facing the guide link. Kozakura et al. discloses a silent chain (fig. 5) comprising a chain guide (8) to prevent vibration. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide the chain of Mott with a chain guide in view of Kozakura et al. in order to reduce vibration.

Art Unit: 3682

Regarding claim 4, Mott does not disclose the link plate has teeth on both sides of the pinhole. Kozakura et al. discloses a silent chain in which the link plates (2/3) have teeth (t) on both sides of the pinhole (h) for engaging an idler sprocket and for driving multiple sprockets in opposite rotating directions.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to further modify the link plates of Mott device to include the link plates of Kozakura et al. for engaging an idler sprocket and for driving multiple sprockets in opposite rotating directions.

In claim 5, it is apparent that shoulder parts of the guide link do not protrude beyond the shoulder of the shoulder parts of the link plate

In claim 11, it is apparent that the link plate contact surface area is smaller that that of the contact surface area of the guide plate because the guide plate has an oval shaped pinhole and the link plate pinhole is round.

Regarding claim 13, Mott does not disclose the guide links and the link plates in contact with a chain guide and the surface of the guide link has a plurality of teeth. Kozakura et al. further discloses the guide links (3') and link plate (2) contacting the chain guide (6') and the contacting surface of the guide link (3') has teeth in order to prevent the chain from chattering and minimize lateral movement of the chain. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the chain of Mott to include the limitation of Kozakura et al. in order to prevent the chain from chattering and to minimize lateral movement of the chain.

Art Unit: 3682

1. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kozakura et al. in view of Mott. Kozakura et al. discloses a chain comprising a plurality of link plates each having a pair of tooth parts (t) above and below the pinholes (h), a guide link (7) having a pair of pin holes (H) and disposed on the outermost side of the link plates and fixed to linking pins (4) such that the distance from a centerline of the pin hole of the link plate to the link plate surface is less than the distance from the centerline of the pin hole of the guide link to the surface of the guide link so that the distance is effective to prevent substantial contact between the tooth part of the of the link plate and the contact surface of the chain guide. Kozakura et al. do not disclose the clearance between the pins and the pin-holes. Mott discloses a chain comprising a plurality of links with pinholes foe accommodating pins such that a clearance exist between the pins and the pinholes (col. 5, lines 30-36) in order to allow for pivotal movement of the link plates. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the link plates of Kozakura et al. so that there exist a clearance between the pin and the pinholes in view of Mott n order to allow for pivotal movement of the link plates.

In claim 10, it is apparent that shoulder parts of the guide link do not protrude beyond the shoulder of the shoulder parts of the link plate

7. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mott in view of Kozakura et al. as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of JP('4046241). Mott does not disclose that the guide link has a crotch in the surface facing the guide link. JP('4046241) discloses a silent chain comprising a

Art Unit: 3682

plurality of guide links (3), wherein each guide link has a crotch part facing the chain guide (6) in order to reduce the weight of the chain and increase the flexibility of the chain in the transverse direction. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to further modify the guide link of Mott to include a crotch in view JP('4046241) in order to reduce the weight of the chain and increase the flexibility of the chain in the transverse direction.

8. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kozakura et al. in view of JP('4046241). Kozakura et al. in does not disclose that the guide link has a crotch in the surface facing the guide link. JP('4046241) discloses a silent chain comprising a plurality of guide links (3), wherein each guide link has a crotch part facing the chain guide (6) in order to reduce the weight of the chain and increase the flexibility of the chain in the transverse direction. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to further modify the guide link of Kozakura et al. in to include a crotch in view JP('4046241) in order to reduce the weight of the chain and increase the flexibility of the chain in the transverse direction.

Allowable Subject Matter

9. Claims 14-21 are allowed.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 3-6 and 9-17 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 3682

3. Applicant's arguments filed 07-09-2004 regarding claims 11-13 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to applicant's argument regarding claim 11 and 12. Applicant contended that Mott and Ichikiawa do not disclose the claim invention as claimed. It should be noted that in claims 11 and 12 of application invention, there is no mention of the relationship between the pin and the pin holes. The relationship is between the contact surfaces of the plates and the chain guide surface. Therefore, Ichikiawa clearly anticipates claims 12. In addition, for the same reasons given above, the rejection by Mott and Ichikiawa is proper.

4. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marcus Charles whose telephone number is

Art Unit: 3682

(703) 305-6877. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 7:30 am to 6:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Bucci can be reached on (703) 308-3668. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Marcus Charles
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3682
October 15, 2004